

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/074,947	02/12/2002	Bernd-Georg Pietras	WEAT/0214	8893
36735	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			SMITH, JAMES G	
	AK BOULEVARD, SUITE FX 77056-6582	E 1500	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
110051011,	111 17050 0502		3723	

**DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/074,947	PIETRAS ET AL.	
,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James G. Smith	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate the final originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· <i>· ·</i> —		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>56-64 and 66</u> .			•
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,9-11,13-18 and 65</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-8, 12, 19-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	((o)(1 10 14 10) 1 apoi 110(o)		
		James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

.-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 10182004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 19 and new claims 68 and 69 would require a new consideration in the form of a new ground o rejection and therefore the amendment raises new issues.